

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,845	06/28/2001	Naoya Hashimoto	Q65157	2909
75	• •	FAK & SEAS	EXAMI	NER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			PEREZ, GUILLERMO	
Washington, 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834 DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	111				
	09/892,845	HASHIMOTO ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Guillermo Perez	2834	ì				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, wi.i! the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	nt(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered alow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:						
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		la de Fran	.:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem  10. Other:	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	CUSTCA CAMPA ACCIONA PAGANA ACCIONA DE CENTRA	EX SCONCINER TO 2500				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		2.1437					



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the fact that the prior art uses the same structural material to solve a different problem does not make it different from the claimed invention. There must be some structural difference othe than the advantage found in the material to make the claims allowable. The applicants explain in the specification that the means to prevent the problem they are addressing is thermosetting resin, and the prior art disclose thermosetting resin used in the winding to solve a different problem. It is still the same structure.